

Ref #

<b>TRANSMITTAL LETTER</b> (General - Patent Pending)	Docket No. A390-JN
---	-----------------------

In Re Application of Dug Gum Lee

Application No. 10/610958	Filing Date July 1, 2003	Examiner Krause, Justin	Customer No.	Group Art Unit 3682	Confirmation No.
------------------------------	-----------------------------	----------------------------	--------------	------------------------	------------------

Title: A ROTATIONAL MOVEMENT AMPLIFYING APPARATUS

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS:


Transmitted herewith is:

1. Request for Continued Examination Pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114
2. Interview Summary

in the above identified application.


- ☐ No additional fee is required.
- ☒ A check in the amount of \$395.00 is attached.
- ☐ The Director is hereby authorized to charge and credit Deposit Account No. as described below.
  - ☐ Charge the amount of
  - ☐ Credit any overpayment.
  - ☐ Charge any additional fee required.
- ☐ Payment by credit card. Form PTO-2038 is attached.

**WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.**

  
Signature

Dated: April 4, 2006

Jerry H. Noh  
reg. no. 44,263  
3435 Wilshire Blvd suite 2741  
Los Angeles, CA 90010

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the "Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450" [37 CFR 1.8(a)] on April 5, 2006 (Date)  Signature of Person Mailing Correspondence Jerry H. Noh Typed or Printed Name of Person Mailing Correspondence
---

cc:

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY FIRST CLASS MAIL (37 CFR 1.8)**Applicant(s): **Dug Gum Lee**

Docket No.

**A390-JN**

Application No.

**10/610958**

Filing Date

**July 1, 2003**

Examiner

**Krause, Justin**

Customer No.

Group Art Unit

**3682**

Inventor:

**ROTATIONAL MOVEMENT AMPLIFYING APPARATUS**

APR 10 2006



I hereby certify that this **Request for Continued Examination Pursuant to 37 CFR Section 1.114 with Amendments**  
(Identify type of correspondence)

is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope

addressed to "Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450" [37 CFR 1.8(a)] on

**April 5, 2006**

(Date)

**Jerry Noh**

(Typed or Printed Name of Person Mailing Correspondence)

  
(Signature of Person Mailing Correspondence)

**Note: Each paper must have its own certificate of mailing.**

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY FIRST CLASS MAIL (37 CFR 1.8)**Applicant(s): **Dug Gum Lee**

Docket No.

**A390-JN**

Application No.

**10/610958**

Filing Date

**July 1, 2003****APR 10 2006**

Examiner

**Kause, Justin**

Customer No.

Group Art Unit

**3682**Invention: **A ROTATIONAL MOVEMENT AMPLIFYING APPARATUS**I hereby certify that this **INTERVIEW SUMMARY***(Identify type of correspondence)*

is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope

addressed to "Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450" [37 CFR 1.8(a)] on

**April 5, 2006***(Date)***Jerry Noh***(Typed or Printed Name of Person Mailing Correspondence)**(Signature of Person Mailing Correspondence)***Note: Each paper must have its own certificate of mailing.**



## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

Dug Gum Lee

Serial No. 10/610958

Filed: July 1, 2003

For: A ROTATIONAL MOVEMENT  
AMPLIFYING APPARATUSPATENTSPrimary Examiner:  
JUSTIN KRAUSE

Art Unit 3682

**INTERVIEW SUMMARY**Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

All participants: Justin Krause, David Fenstermacher, and Jerry Noh (attorney for applicant).

Date of Interview: 10 January 2006

Type: Telephonic

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted?: No

Claims discussed: 1-10

Identification of prior art discussed: None

Agreement with respect to the claims was not reached.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant's attorney called to discuss the final office action mailed by the examiner on January 5, 2006. Applicant's attorney wanted an explanation as to why the device as amended still violates the conservation of energy. Applicant tried to explain that the language used in the

application is similar to another patent which was registered and tried to draw the examiner's attention to the allowed patent; however, the examiner stated that he could not look to the other registered patent. Examiner stressed that the response to previous office action did not overcome prior rejection under 35 USC Section 101. Applicant's attorney tried to explain that the amendment to the specification and claims overcomes the rejection under 35 USC Section 101, but the examiner disagreed. The applicant's attorney stated that the device does function in rotating the rod continuously once an initial force causes the rotation of the rod because the rod slides in a manner throughout different positions during the rotation which allows the continuous rotation of the rod. Examiner stated that applicant was trying to patent a perpetual motion device.

Applicant's attorney mistakenly asserted that the device does rotate continuously once rotation was started. After the conversation, the applicant's attorney called back and left a message on the examiner's voicemail to correct the mistaken assertion and stated that the device does not rotate continuously but eventually comes to a stop.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: March 13, 2006

By: 

Jerry H. Noh  
Registration No. 44,263  
Attorney for Applicant